



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/945,179	08/30/2001	Todd J. Sheldon	P-8729	2977	
27581	7590 01/15/2003				
MEDTRONIC, INC.			EXAMINER		
MS-LC340	ONIC PARKWAY NE		GETZOW,	GETZOW, SCOTT M	
MINNEAPO	LIS, MN 55432-5604		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762		
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	;	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•		/// /				
<u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/945,179	SHELDON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Scott M. Getzow	3762				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by soly received by the Office later than three months after the apatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, men. a reply within the statutory minimum eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositio	n of Claims						
4)⊠ (Claim(s) $1-67$ is/are pending in the applic	ation.					
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration	ı .				
5)□ (Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ (☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-24,28-33,35-49,54 and 56-67</u> is/are rejected.						
7) 🛛 (Claim(s) <u>7,25-27,34,50-53,55</u> is/are objected to.						
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	ind/or election requiremen	t.				
Application	·						
, —	he specification is objected to by the Example description is objected to by the Example description is forced by the Example description is forced by the Example description is forced by the Example description in the Example description is objected to by the Example description is objected to be a second or objected or objected to be a second or objected or o		by the Everiner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
· · · ·	All b) Some * c) None of:						
•	. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)							
_	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Inter	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944 ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	8) 5) 🔲 Notic	ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/945,179

Art Unit: 3762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6,8-24,28-33,35-49,54,56-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mathson '350.

Mathson is considered to render obvious all of the subject matter of the above claims. Specifically, Mathson teaches an implantable heart stimulator, which adjusts its stimulation if ischemia is detected. In column 5 of Mathson, various means for detecting ischemia are described, such as by detecting changes in blood pressure, impedance changes, cardiac output, ST segment variations, as well as cardiac contractility. Further, in column 6, lines 18+ it is stated that the various ways of detecting ischemia, as described in the patent, can be combined to improve the reliability of the detection. Further, regarding claim 6, the recording unit 6 can accomplish recording of events. Also, comparisons of the sensed values of the contractility and ECG parameters are considered obvious. To make a judgment of whether a sensed value is indicative of ischemia must necessarily entail comparison with a threshold value. Such a comparison can be done in the ischemia analysis unit 4. Still further, regarding claim 54, telemetry features are common in the pacemaker art to enable the physician to remotely check the functioning of the device. Also, the software program embodied in

Application/Control Number: 09/945,179

Art Unit: 3762

claims 66 and 67 is considered to be that which is stored in the control unit 6 and ischemia analysis unit 4. Programmable implantable devices are common in the art, and such, of course, have software that allows for the proper manipulation of sensed parameters.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 7,25-27,34,50-53,55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/945,179

Art Unit: 3762

Scott M. Getzow Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

smg December 30, 2002

•